



---

## Examination of the East London Joint Waste Plan

### Inspectors' Matters, Issues and Questions – CLARIFICATION NOTE

Thea Davis BA(Hons) MA MRTPI

G J Fort BA PGDip LLM MCD MRTPI

#### Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State

---

1. Following queries from the Boroughs this note provides additional clarification on some of the questions from our previously issued Matters, Issues and Questions document.

**Matter 2 Q 2(iii).2.** “Bearing in mind the challenges with data accuracy in relation to HIC and CDE waste in particular, are the assumptions about capacities for individual sites justified and effective?”

2. This question relates to how the Plan’s supporting evidence has sought to ensure that accurate data on HIC and CDE throughput has informed the assessment of site capacities. It seeks to examine whether the assessed site capacities are justified and effective in terms of the soundness tests set out in the National Planning Policy Framework.

**Matter 3(iii) 12** “Is the Plan clear and unambiguous to decision-makers regarding the process for releasing the Longer-term development options from waste use?”

3. This relates to how it is envisaged that ‘longer-term development options’ would be released from waste use. For example, would this be done in a planned manner by way of an update to the Joint Waste Plan, or other development plan document? Or, for example, would this be done through the development management process, with no preceding plan review activity?

**Matter 3 3(iii) 15** “Is the approach to compensatory capacity for the Longer-term development options clear and unambiguous, in general conformity with the London Plan, and otherwise justified and effective?”

4. This question relates specifically to the Plan’s approach to compensatory capacity for the sites identified as ‘longer-term development options’

*Thea Davis*

*G J Fort*

INSPECTORS

14 May 2026