

[REDACTED]

From: Chris Gannaway [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 November 2017 16:59
To: DPD (Planning Service Area)
Cc: Mathew Frith
Subject: Redbridge Local plan main modifications consultation

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I am responding to Modification number MM66 Which reads as follows:

At the end of Para 6.4.5 insert

“This will be particularly important given that some parts of the borough are currently deficient in access to open space and nature (see figures 24 and 25).”

Insert new figure 25: Areas of Deficiency for Nature (and renumber subsequent figures accordingly)

We attended the enquiry for issue 10 and were at the table to discuss this issue where I put forward the argument that the Policy Map does not show the SINC’s clearly enough amongst the other planned policies, and that to plot the area of deficiency the actual SINC’s would have to be plotted for fig25 and we would like the fig25 to be both an area of deficiency and SINC plan which the Council Planning Officers agreed to and the inspector was in favour of as he had problems finding them on the Policy Map.

However reading the above modification it appears not to be reflected in MM66 please reword final line to read:

Insert new figure 25: Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC’s) & Areas of Deficiency for Nature (and renumber subsequent figures accordingly)

I hope that this will be complied with.

Chris Gannaway for the Redbridge Group of the London Wildlife Trust

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<http://www.wildlondon.org.uk/>



Protecting London's **Wildlife** for the future

The London Wildlife Trust is company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 1600379 and registered charity number 283895. Registered Office:
Dean Bradley House, 52 Horseferry Road, London, SW1P 2AF

Christopher Waller

From: Chris Gannaway [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 November 2017 16:57
To: DPD (Planning Service Area)
Cc: Mathew Frith; Tricia Moxey; 'Tim Harris'; David Littlejohns; John Painter ; Mike Condon; Brian Ecott
Subject: Redbridge local Plan Consultation

It has come to our notice that Redbridge Planning Officers are considering reviewing the SINC late in the first five years of the plans life!

This is contrary to the agreed modification AM 66 which clearly states that “the Council will seek to keep the borough’s SINC’s valid and up to date and undertake a review every 5-10 years”, the key issue is that the current SINC’s were surveyed 20 years ago, therefore they cannot be considered to be valid and up to date! Some site are degraded and others have been recommended by the GLA to be upgraded to Metropolitan status, there are other undesignated sites in the borough that are of sufficient quality that they should be added to the SINC system.

Most London Boroughs that are/were going through the local plan process made sure that their SINC listings were up to date prior to the enquiry stage something that Redbridge was not prepared to do!

We are looking for a clear direction from the inspector that the Redbridge SINC system should be fully validated and up to date prior to the local plan coming into force.

Chris Gannaway for the Redbridge Group of the London Wildlife Trust

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

<http://www.wildlondon.org.uk/>



Protecting London’s **Wildlife** for the future

The London Wildlife Trust is company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 1600379 and registered charity number 283895. Registered Office: Dean Bradley House, 52 Horseferry Road, London, SW1P 2AF