Redbridge Air Quality Annual Status Report for 2020 Date of publication: August 2021



This report provides a detailed overview of air quality in London Borough of Redbridge during 2020. It has been produced to meet the requirements of the London Local Air Quality Management statutory process¹.

Contact details

Luke Drysdale (Air Quality Lead Officer) Lynton House (10th Floor Front) 255-259 High Road, Ilford IG1 1NY Tel: 0208 708-5611 Email: <u>luke.drysdale@redbridge.gov.uk</u>

CONTENTS

¹LLAQM Policy and Technical Guidance 2019 (LLAQM.TG(19)). https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/environment/pollution-and-air-quality/working-boroughs

Abbrevia	ations	3
1. Air	Quality Monitoring	5
1.1	Locations	5
1.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQOs	7
2. Acti	ion to Improve Air Quality	15
2.1	Air Quality Action Plan Progress	15
3. Plar	nning Update and Other New Sources of Emissions	50
3.1	New or significantly changed industrial or other sources	51
Appendi	x A Details of Monitoring Site QA/QC	51
A.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	51
A.2	Diffusion Tube Quality Assurance / Quality Control	52
A.3	Adjustments to the Ratified Monitoring Data	53
Appendi	x B Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2020	54

Tables

Table A.	Summary of National Air Quality Standards and Objectives	4
Table B.	Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2020	5
Table C.	Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2020	•
Table D.	Annual Mean NO_2 Ratified and Bias-adjusted Monitoring Results (µg m $^{\text{-3}}$)	7
Table E.	NO2 Automatic Monitor Results: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective	. 12
Table G.	PM_{10} Automatic Monitor Results: Comparison with 24-Hour Mean Objective	. 13
Table H.	Annual Mean PM _{2.5} Automatic Monitoring Results (μ g m ⁻³)	. 14
Table J.	Delivery of Air Quality Action Plan Measures	. 19
Table K.	Planning requirements met by planning applications in Redbridge in 2020	. 50
Table L.	Short-Term to Long-Term Monitoring Data Adjustment	NA
Table M.	NO_2 Diffusion Tube Results	. 54
Graphs 1&2	2 . NO ₂ Annual Trends RB7 & RB459-6	50

Abbreviations

AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AQO	Air Quality Objective
BEB	Buildings Emission Benchmark
САВ	Cleaner Air Borough
CAZ	Central Activity Zone
EV	Electric Vehicle
GLA	Greater London Authority
LAEI	London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
LLAQM	London Local Air Quality Management
NRMM	Non-Road Mobile Machinery
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter less than 10 micron in diameter
PM _{2.5}	Particulate matter less than 2.5 micron in diameter
TEB	Transport Emissions Benchmark
TfL	Transport for London

Pollutant	Objective (UK)	Averaging Period	Date ¹
Nitrogen dioxide - NO ₂	200 μg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31 Dec 2005
	40 μg m ⁻³	Annual mean	31 Dec 2005
Particles - PM ₁₀	50 μ g m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31 Dec 2004
	40 μg m ⁻³	Annual mean	31 Dec 2004
Particles - PM _{2.5}	25 μg m ⁻³	Annual mean	2020
	Target of 15% reduction in concentration at urban background locations	3 year mean	Between 2010 and 2020
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	266 μg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15 minute mean	31 Dec 2005
	350 μg m ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1 hour mean	31 Dec 2004
	125 μg m ⁻³ mot to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24 hour mean	31 Dec 2004

Table A. Summary of National Air Quality Standards and Objectives

Note: ¹ by which to be achieved by and maintained thereafter

1. <u>Air Quality Monitoring</u>

1.1 Locations

Table B. Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2020

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQMA?	Distance from monitoring site to relevant exposure (m)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Inlet height (m)	Pollutants monitored	Monitoring technique
CM7	Redbridge 7 Ley Street	544454. 8	187681. 9	Urban background	Y	70	50m	2.7	NO ₂ ,PM _{10,} PM _{2.5} , O ₃	Chemiluminescent; BAM
CM4	Redbridge 4 Gardner Close	540828. 3	188367. 9	Urban traffic	Y	12	12m	2.	NO ₂ ,PM _{10,} PM _{2.5,}	Chemiluminescent; BAM

Table C. Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites for 2020

Site ID	Site Name	X (m)	Y (m)	Site Type	In AQM A?	Distance from monitoring site to relevant exposure (m)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) (m)	Site height (m)	Pollutants monitore d	Tube co- located with an automatic monitor? (Y/N)
DTA	Mayfield School	547022.3	187232.3	Urban Background	Ŷ	<5m	>100	1.5	NO ₂	N
DT B	Ilford Lane	543688.0	186139.6	Roadside	Y	<5m	2.3	3.1	NO ₂	N

DT C	Ilford Lane BP	544132.4	184945.6	Roadside	Y	<5m	3.0	2.7	NO ₂	N
DT D	Ley Street	544454.8	187681.9	Urban Background	Y	>5m	50m	2.7	NO ₂	Y
DTE	Gardner Close	540828.3	188367.9	Roadside	Y	<5m	4.2	2.6	NO ₂	Y
DT F	Fullwell Cross	544560.7	190400.8	Roadside	Y	<5m	1.2	1.7	NO ₂	Ν
DT G	Perth Road	543421.7	188322.6	Roadside	Y	<5m	1.5	2.8	NO ₂	Ν
DT H	Westbound Eastern Ave	543450.6	188371.1	Roadside	Y	<5m	1.3	2.4	NO ₂	Ν
DT I	CentralRes Eastern Ave	543453.7	188384.4	Roadside	Y	<5m	2.0	2.5	NO ₂	N
DTJ	Eastbound Eastern Ave	543442.0	1888400. 2	Kerbside	Y	<5m	0.9	2.7	NO ₂	N
DT K	Parham Dr	543498.3	188427.6	Near Road	Ŷ	<5m	40m from Eastern Ave	2.6	NO ₂	N
DTL	North Circ. Rd, Northbound Royston Gd	541816.3	188161.3	Roadside	Ŷ	<5m	2.1	2.8	NO ₂	N
DT M	North Circ. Rd, Southbound Wanstead Pk	541887.8	188136.2	Roadside	Ŷ	<5m	3.0	3.0	NO ₂	N
DT N	Ethel Davis School	546675.6	188886.1	Near Road	Ŷ	<5m	15	2.8	NO ₂	N
DTO	Grove Road	540025.7	190494.3	Roadside	Y	<5m	8.0 horizontal	2.7	NO ₂	Ν
DT P	High Road Woodford	540076.0	190682.6	Roadside	Y	<5m	2.7	2.6	NO ₂	N
DTQ	M11	541992.1	191799.9	Near Road	Y	>10m	35	2.4	NO ₂	N
DT R	Winston Way Primary Sch.	544364.1	186597.4	Roadside	Y	<5m	3.2	2.8	NO ₂	N
DTS	Winston Way Gyratory	544360.4	186615.3	Kerbside	Ŷ	>10m	0.9	2.6	NO ₂	N
DTT	Chadwell Heath	547158.3	187699.4	Kerbside	Ŷ	<5m	0.6	2.8	NO ₂	N

	Primary School									
DT U	Goodmayes	546665.3	187046.3	Roadside	Y	<5m	9.0	2.6	NO ₂	N
	Primary School									
DT V	Isaac Newton	545030.2	186919.8	Near Road	Y	<5m	15	2.6	NO ₂	N
	Academy									
DT W	Inside Winston	544332.3	186571.3	Near Road	Y	<5m	17	3.0	NO ₂	N
	Way Prim.Sch									

1.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with AQOs

The results presented are after adjustments for "annualisation" and for distance to a location of relevant public exposure, the details of which are described in Appendix A.

Table D. Annual Mean NO₂ Ratified and Bias-adjusted Monitoring Results ($\mu g m^{-3}$) (Non-automatic co-located tube date included for data trend comparisons) (DT D Perth Terrace was relocated to DT D Ley Street in 2014 with CM7)

		Valid data	Valid data capture 2020 % ^b	Annual Mean Concentration (μg m ⁻³)									
Site ID	Site type	capture for monitoring period % ^a		2014 °	2015°	2016 °	2017 °	2018 °	2019 °	2020 °			
	Automatic												
CM1	Background			32.8									
	(Perth Terrace)												
CN 47	Automatic	00	00	34.6	33.1	33	30.4	30.6	30	21			
CMT	Background (Lev Street)	96	99										
СМЗ													
	Urban Traffic												
CM4	Urban Traffic	00	07	48.3	41.0	42.3	38.8	37.4	37	27			
	(Gardner Close)	39	97										
CM5	Urban Traffic												

		Valid data	Valid data		Annual Mean Concentration (µg m ⁻³)									
Site ID	Site type	capture for monitoring period % ^a	capture 2020 % ^b	2014 °	2015°	2016 °	2017 °	2018 °	2019 °	2020 °				
DTD	Non-Automatic Background (Ley Street)					29.6	30.4	28.4	25	20.7				
DT D	Non-Automatic Background (Perth Terrace)			33.7	31.7									
DT E	Non-Automatic Background (Gardner Close)			46.8	48.6	42.9	42.3	42.4	35.7	28				

Notes: Exceedance of the NO2 annual mean AQO of 40 $\mu g \ m^{\text{-3}}$ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means in excess of 60 µg m⁻³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ hourly mean AQS objective are shown in bold and underlined.

^a data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

Table D2: Results of Non-Automatic Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2013 to 2020)

Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Bias adjustment factor	0.80	0.76	0.95	1.03	0.97	0.92	0.89	0.82

Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?		Annual Mean Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) - Adjusted for bias ^a									
DT A	Background	Y	24.1	24.2	25.8	28.8	27.4	24.9	25.1	20.4			
DT B	Roadside	Y	52.5	51.7	52.0	55.9	52.8	45.6	43.0	36.5			
DT C	Roadside	Y	47.5	49.2	53.1	57.0	52.6	46.9	43.2	34.4			
DT D	Background	Y	33.7	31.7	29.6	29.0	28.4	25.2	25.0	20.7			
DT E	Roadside	Y	46.8	48.6	42.9	43.4	42.4	34.5	35.7	28.0			
DT F	Roadside	Y	44.0	42.3	44.7	46.0	43.2	37.6	37.4	29.4			
DT G	Roadside	Y	43.9	39.2	46.9	59.1	55.0	51.5	42.2	35.9			
DT H	Roadside	Y	58.1	<u>64.6</u>	53.1	50.3	<u>52.7</u>	46.8	41.3	37.2			
DT I	Roadside	Y	56.7	<u>64.3</u>	51.8	54.4	52.5	47.2	47.5	34.7			
DT J	Kerbside	Y	45.1	45.6	48.0	55.3	50.3	43.4	41.4	37.1			
DT K	Near Road	Y	43.1	36.8	44.8	52.9	55.3	45.9	43.4	31.9			
DT L	Roadside	Y	46.2	42.4	45.7	47.6	42.6	42.2	36.7	27.6			
DT M	Roadside	Y	<u>66.7</u>	<u>71.6</u>	73.0	80.5	<u>78.9</u>	68.4	61.4	50.3			
DT N	Near Road	Y	32.9	25.8	25.8	28.1	26.8	26.3	23.5	19.3			

DT O	Roadside	Y	45.2	52	45.7	49.5	47.4	44.7	41.3	33.5
DT P	Roadside	Y	40.7	39.8	38.0	38.8	37.6	34.8	32.0	24.9
DT Q	Near Road	Y	41.4	42.6	46.8	42.1	43.9	36.7	34.7	34.0
DT R	Roadside	Y	53.6	50.3	50.2	57.3	54.5	53.4	47.5	37.1
DT S	Kerbside	Y	53.2	49.4	52.6	58.3	55.5	54.2	45.7	39.3
DT T	Kerbside	Y	47.2	41.4	42.0	47.8	43.3	44.8	39.4	31.4
DT U	Roadside	Y	35.6	34.3	34.8	37.6	36.1	36.5	32.6	26.4
DT V	Near Road	Y	34.7	36	31.4	34.0	32.8	31.1	29.2	22.6
DT W	Near Road	Y		36.4	34.8	38.1	35.8	37.1	30.4	27.3

Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations

The data above shows the annual mean NO₂ concentrations 7 year trend from 2014 to 2020. The results indicate that the annual mean objective was exceeded for all years monitored at the CM3 (Fullwell Cross) and CM5 (Grove Road) roadside monitoring sites until their closure in 2012. The annual mean objective was also exceeded for 6 years at the roadside site CM4 (Gardner Close) with the exceptions of 2017, 2018 and 2019 where CM4 recorded an annual mean concentrations of 38.8, 37.4 and 37 respectively. The results at CM4 show a downward trend over the 8 period. The background site CM1 at Perth Terrace has shown steady concentrations until its closure in 2014. Similarly the background site CM7 at Ley Street has shown steady concentrations until its closure in 2014. Similarly the background site CM7 at Ley Street has shown steady concentrations at monitoring site DT E (Gardner Close) has been included for data trend comparisons. Similarly data from background sites DT D (Perth Terrace) and DT D (Ley Street) have been included for trend comparison purposes. Site DT D (Perth Terrace) was relocated to DT D Ley Street in 2014 therefore the 8 years of data is split between the two sites. Site DT E in comparison to CM4 shows a similar unsteady concentration decrease and increase trend in the data over the 7 year period. However it is notable that in 2020 CM4 has recorded an annual average just below the Air Quality Objective for the fourth time over the 7 year period in comparison to DT E which also recorded an annual average concentration just below the objective. It is possible that air quality concentration trends around CM4 and DTE are consistently decreasing. We will observe the concentration trend at CM4 and DT E in future years to see how it progresses. The comparison of site DT D to sites CM1 and CM7 show that background concentration trends have remained relatively steady over the 7 year period.

Table D2. Interestingly in 2020 all sites except DTM by the North Circular showed concentrations that were lower than previous years and that were below the AQ objectives. This downward trend across Redbridge's diffusion tube sites in comparison to previous years data is clear and we attribute some of this to reduced traffic numbers on the roads due to the Coronavirus restrictions/lockdown measures in place. We have also noticed a decreasing trend in concentrations over the last three years and we also attribute this to effective local and regional air quality policies/projects that encourage drivers to switch to using less polluting modes of transport. With the ULEZ boundary being extended to the North Circular in October 2020, we look forward to seeing how this impacts measured ambient pollution concentrations within Redbridge. Graphs showing the trends in NO2 concentrations between 2014 to 2021 at RB4 Gardner Close and RB7 Ley Street can be seen on pages 59-60.

Table E. NO2 Automatic Monitor Results: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective

	Valid data	Valid data	Number of Hourly Means > 200 μg m ⁻³							
Site ID	capture for monitoring period % ^a	capture 2020 % ^b	2014 °	2015 ^c	2016 °	2017 °	2018 °	2019 °	2020 °	
CM1(Background)			0							
CM7(Background)			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
CM4(Roadside)						0	0	0	0	

Notes: Exceedance of the NO₂ short term AQO of 200 μ g m⁻³ over the permitted 18 days per year are shown in **bold**.

^a data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

Table F. Annual Mean PM₁₀ Automatic Monitoring Results (µg m⁻³)

	Valid data	Valid data		Annual Mean Concentration (μg m ⁻³)							
Site ID	capture for monitoring period % ^a	capture 2020 % ^b	2014 ^c	2015°	2016 °	2017 °	2018 °	2019 °	2020 °		
CM1(Background)			16.9								
CM7(Background)		82	22.9	18.8	16.9	15.7	18	16	15		
CM3(Roadside)											
CM4(Roadside)		86	25.4	17.0	18.8	17.3	18	19	17		
CM5(Roadside)											

Notes: Exceedance of the PM₁₀ annual mean AQO of 40 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**.

^a data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

Option to include some narrative on the 7 year trend here

Table G. PM₁₀ Automatic Monitor Results: Comparison with 24-Hour Mean Objective

	Valid data	Valid data	Number of Daily Means > 50 μg m ⁻³							
Site ID	monitoring period % ^a	capture 2020 % ^b	2014 °	2015 °	2016 °	2017 °	2018 °	2019 ^c	2020 °	
CM1(Background)			5(35)							
CM7(Background)		90	7(36)	3(30)	3(28)	2	1	2		
CM3(Roadside)										
CM4(Roadside)		94	9(43)	1	6	2	1	2		

Notes: Exceedance of the PM₁₀ short term AQO of 50 μ g m⁻³ over the permitted 35 days per year or where the 90.4th percentile exceeds 50 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**. Where the period of valid data is less than 85% of a full year, the 90.4th percentile is shown in brackets after the number of exceedances.

^a data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

	Valid data	Valid data	Annual Mean Concentration (µg m ⁻³)							
Site ID	capture for monitoring period % ^a	capture 2020 % ^b	2014 °	2015 ^c	2016 °	2017 ^c	2018 ^c	2019 °	2020 °	
CM7(Background)	86	86				13.6	12	11	13	

Table H. Annual Mean PM_{2.5} Automatic Monitoring Results (µg m⁻³)

Notes: Exceedance of the PM_{2.5} annual mean AQO of 25 μ g m⁻³ are shown in **bold**.

^a data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

2. Action to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Action Plan Progress

Table J provides a brief summary of Redbridge Council's new Air Quality Action Plan 2020-2025. This Action Plan is based on the new LLAQM Matrix template. We are focussing our actions on reducing emissions in our air quality focus areas and pollution hotspots. Some actions are currently progressing. The actions have been grouped into seven categories: Monitoring, Emissions from developments and buildings; Public health and awareness raising; Delivery servicing and freight; Borough fleet actions; Localised solutions; and Cleaner transport.

The link to the full document can be found here:

https://www.redbridge.gov.uk/media/7646/aqap-2020-to-2025.pdf

An AQAP Steering Group will be set up to ensure clear governance and ownership of this plan. The Steering Group will consist of representatives from Environmental Health, Public Health, Planning, Transport and other relevant teams. This group will subsequently report on the progress of the actions documented below.

Key for reading the Action Plan:

Responsibility: name of council	Environmental Health:
department responsible for this	
action	Planning:
	Estate Management:
	Public Health:
	Smarter Travel Team
	Procurement
	Engineering Services
Ease of delivery (EOD)	Straightforward 1-2
	Medium 3-4
	Most difficult 5

Magnitude of emissions/air quality benefits	High 1
	Medium 2
	Low 3
Priority level (PL)	High 1-5 (*actions marked selected are key priority actions for the council for effective emissions and exposure reductions)
	Medium 6-10
	Low 11-15
Timescale	The year (or month) this action will be implemented or completed or if this is an ongoing
	commitment

Action category	Action ID	Action description	Responsibility	Cost	Expected emissions/ concentrations benefit	Timescale	Outputs, Targets and KPIs	Further information
Monitoring and Core Statutory Duties Reducing Emissio	1 ns from	Maintain the borough's 2 automatic and 26 diffusion tube monitoring sites. Developments & Buildings	Air Quality Officer	£25K per annum	No. Data from monitoring will assist in identifying the impact of action taken	Ongoing for maintenance of monitors, and target to install new monitors subject to available funding.	All monitors maintained and over 90% data capture	Details of our monitoring can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/media/5</u> <u>495/asr_lond</u> <u>on_2018_fina</u> <u>l-report.pdf</u>
Emissions from developments and buildings	2	Ensuring emissions from construction and operation of new developments are	Planning	Within existing resource.	2	2019 - ongoing	Number of planning applications conditioned	This information is reported on in our ASR

Table J. Delivery of Air Quality Action Plan Measures (From Redbridge Air Quality Action Plan 2020-2025)

minimised by requiring			for dust	which can be
developers to adhere to			management	found here:
current and any			best practice	
superseding best practice			and	https://www.
guidance and			automatic	redbridge.go
supplementary planning			air quality	v.uk/media/5
guidance. Ensuring major			monitoring	495/asr_lond
sites have a dust			in line with	<u>on_2018_fina</u>
management plan (DMP)			SPG	<u>I-report.par</u>
and construction			guidance.	
management plan (CMP)			EOD = 2	
and appropriate real-time			200 - 2	
monitoring in accordance			PL = 4 (High)	
with the identified risk of				
the site.				

Emissions from	3	Adoption of a Planning	Planning		2	2019	Reduction in	
developments		Obligations SPD and					complaints	
and buildings		securing additional					relating to	
		funding from developers					construction	
		through s.106					projects	
		agreements to manage						
		and enforce construction					Amount of	
		impacts					money	
							generated	
							for AQ from	
							s.106	
							agreements	
							EOD = 2	
							PL = 4	
Entertain form			Discutor	64000	2		N	T L 1.
Emissions from	4	Educate, raise awareness	Planning	£4000 match	2	Immediately	Number of	Inis
developments		and enforce Non Road		funding			eligible	information
and buildings		Mobile Machinery		requirement			planning	is reported
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality		requirement for			planning applications	is reported on in our ASR
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation			planning applications conditioned	is reported on in our ASR which can be
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan-			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here:
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: https://www.
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London NRMM			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG Guidance.	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> redbridge.go
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London NRMM project			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG Guidance. Number of	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> v.uk/media/5
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London NRMM project			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG Guidance. Number of sites visited	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/media/5</u> 495/asr_lond
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London NRMM project			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG Guidance. Number of sites visited by NRMM	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/media/5</u> <u>495/asr_lond</u> on 2018 fina
and buildings		Mobile Machinery (NRMM) air quality policies.		requirement for participation in the Pan- London NRMM project			planning applications conditioned for NRMM in line with SPG Guidance. Number of sites visited by NRMM	is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/media/5</u> <u>495/asr_lond</u> on 2018 fina I-report.pdf

			enforcement project. Number of sites compliant after follow visit. EOD = 2	Further NRMM information can be found here <u>http://nrmm.</u> <u>london/</u>
			PL = 4 (High & Selected)	

Emissions from developments and buildings	5	Enforcing CHP and biomass air quality policies for new developments	Planning	Normal Business	1	Immediately	Annual reporting on number of planning applications conditioned for CHP or biomass in line with SPG Guidance. EOD = 4 PL = 4 (High)	This information is reported on in our ASR which can be found here: <u>https://www. redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/media/5</u> <u>495/asr_lond</u> on 2018_fina <u>l-report.pdf</u>
Emissions from developments and buildings	6	Enforcing Air Quality Neutral and Air Quality Positive policies for new developments and require Air Quality Assessments where necessary	Planning	Normal Business	2	Immediately	Number of air quality neutral assessments completed in accordance with GLA	This information is reported on in our ASR which can be found here:

							commissione d guidance. EOD = 2 PL = 4 (High)	https://www. redbridge.go v.uk/media/5 495/asr_lond on_2018_fina I-report.pdf
Emissions from developments and buildings	7	Ensuring adequate, appropriate, and well located green space and infrastructure is included in new developments	Planning	Normal Business	3	Immediately	Local Planning Policy is in place to adequately cover this measure. EOD = 2 PL = 6 Medium	The Redbridge Local Plan is available to view at: <u>https://www.</u> <u>redbridge.go</u> <u>v.uk/planning</u> <u>-and-</u> <u>building/plan</u> <u>ning-</u> <u>policy/local-</u> <u>plan/</u> has a number of Borough wide policies

				on green
				space and
				infrastructure
				:
				Castian 1.22
				Section 1.22-
				23
				Quality of
				Environment
				Section 4:
				Promoting a
				Green
				Environment
				Policy LP19
				Climate
				Change
				Mitigation.
				Policy LP24
				Pollution.
				Deliny J.D.22
				POIICY LP 32
				Sustainable
				Design and
				Construction.
				Policy LP37
				Green

				Infrastructur
				e
				Policy LP38
				Protecting
				Trees and
				ennancing
				landscape
				Policy 39
				, Nature
				Conservation

Emissions from	8	Ensuring the Borough	Environmental Health	Normal	1	Immediately	Annual	Further
developments		Smoke Control Zone		Business			reporting on	information
and buildings		requirements are fully					number of	provided to
		enforced and that information about the requirements are readily available to the public. Awareness will be raised with residents and fuel					smoke control complaints received and enforced.	residents and on the council website can be found here:
		suppliers through direct engagement.					Report on engagement with suppliers and residents EOD = 2 PL = 2 (High & Selected)	https://www. redbridge.go v.uk/business -and- regeneration /environmen tal- health/polluti on/

Emissions from developments and buildings	9	Promoting and delivering in the Council's own stock energy efficiency retrofitting projects in workplaces and homes (Including using the GLA RE:NEW and RE:FIT programmes) to replace	Estate Management	Normal business and support from GLA funding streams	1	Immediately	Number of eligible buildings to benefit from these programmes and delivery date. EOD = 3 PL= 3 High and selected	The council has signed up to take part in Re-fit for the corporate estate (more information on

Public health and	awaren	old polluting heat and energy plant with new low emission plant (e.g. old boilers with new ultra-low-NOx boilers); in combination with other energy conservation measures.						RE:FIT is available at <u>https://www.</u> <u>london.gov.u</u> <u>k/what-we-</u> <u>do/environm</u> <u>ent/energy/e</u> <u>nergy-</u> <u>buildings/refi</u> <u>t</u>
								1
Public health	10	Director of Public Health	Public Health and	Normal	2	Immediately	Director has	
raising		briefed on the AO		DUSITIESS			briefed and	
8		problem in Redbridge; on					will be re-	
		what is being done, and					briefed	
		what is needed.					annually and	
							at interim	
							AQ	
							meetings/pr	
							ojects that	
							require	
							public health	

			input. AQ	
			problems are	
			in the	
			council JSNA	
			and amongst	
			Health and	
			Well Being	
			Board	
			priorities.	
			New Draft	
			Air Quality	
			Action Plan	
			is to be	
			reported to	
			the Health	
			and Well	
			Being Board	
			in March	
			2019 and	
			periodically	
			thereafter.	
			This is to	
			shape local	
			strategy to	
			effectively	
			tackle local	
			AQ issues.	
			The Public	

							Health team contributes to all MAQF school projects in Redbridge through awareness raising through local GP surgeries and local schools. EOD: 1	
Public health and awareness raising	11	Public Health and Environmental Health Teams are supporting engagement with local stakeholders (businesses, schools, community groups and healthcare providers).	Public Health and Environmental Health	Normal business and MAQF	2	Immediately	Annual reporting summarising engagement progress. EOD: 3 PL: 6	

Public health and awareness raising	12	Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) has up to date information on air quality impacts on the population. Revised Health & Well Being Strategy to integrate air quality objectives.	Public Health and Environmental Health	Normal business	1	2019	Annual reporting summarising progress
Public health and awareness raising	13	Strengthening co- ordination with Public Health by ensuring that at least one Consultant- grade public health specialist within the borough has air quality responsibilities outlined in their job profile	Public Health	Normal business	1	Immediately	Annual reporting summarising progress
Public health and awareness raising	14	Engagement with businesses: disseminate information to Redbridge's GP surgeries and pharmacies on how to help improve air quality and reduce	Public Health and Environmental Health	Normal business and MAQF	1	Immediately	Number of GP surgeries/ph armacies to receive information by Dec 2020

		exposure for patients and employees. Disseminate information to other businesses			Number of businesses engaged with by June 2021	
and awareness raising	12	of airTEXT and the Mayor of London's air pollution forecasts	Environmental Health	2	number of Redbridge users annually. Continue to support disseminatio n of airTEXT EOD = 2 PL= 4 High and selected	All Text information can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>airtext.info/</u> Mayor of London forecasts can be found here: <u>https://www.</u> <u>london.gov.u</u> <u>k/what-we-</u> <u>do/environm</u> <u>ent/pollution</u> <u>-and-air-</u> <u>guality/monit</u>

								oring-and- predicting- air-pollution
Public health and awareness raising	16	Encourage schools to join the TfL STARS accredited travel planning programme and retain/improve STARS rating through the MAQF school projects. Promoting sustainable travel and cleaner walking routes with supported mapping.	Transport	Normal business and MAQF	. 2	Immediately	Annual reporting summarising STARS progress: Target number of schools to be signed up to STARS and level at sign up. STARS awarded	

							level progress and targets per school EOD = 2 PI = 4	
							High	
Public health and awareness raising	17	Reducing pollution in and around schools to improve local air quality at schools. MAQF Project to implement anti-idling and road closure measures around targeted schools. Extending Mayor's school audits to all polluted schools.	Transport	Normal business and pending MAQF funding.	1	2019 to 2023	Report on number of polluted schools using Mayor's school audit toolkit to undertake their own audit EOD = 4 PL= 4 High & Selected	Develop audit toolkit using guidance from the Mayor and support from TfL STARS programme and issue to schools between 2019-2020.

Delivery servicing	and fre	ight						
Delivery servicing and freight	18	Update Redbridge procurement policies to reduce pollution from logistics/servicing and to include a requirement for suppliers with large fleets to have attained Bronze Fleet Operator Recognition Scheme (FORS) accreditation	Procurement	Normal business	3	2019	Annual reporting summarising FORS accreditation and improvemen ts procured service vehicle standards EOD = 2 PL= 6 Medium	

Delivery	19	Update Redbridge	Procurement	Normal	3	2019	Annual
servicing and		procurement policies to		business			reporting
freight		preferentially score					summarising
		bidders delivering goods					number
		and services with zero or					procured
		low emission vehicles.					services
							delivering to
							Redbridge
							using low
							emission/zer
							o emission
							vehicles.
							EOD = 2
							PL = 6
							Medium
			-		-		
Delivery	20	Reducing emissions from	Procurement and	Normal	2	2019 -2023	Annual
servicing and		deliveries to local	Transport	business			
freight		businesses and residents.					summarising
		Evaluate transport being					comparative
		used services such as Age					delivery
		UK Redbridge (Daisy					numbers,
							frequency

		Fresh) for potential emission reductions.					and emission standards of vehicles used EOD = 3 PL= 6 Medium	
Borough fleet act	ions							
Borough fleet	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a	Waste and Fleet	Normal	2	2019-2021	Annual	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS)	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS) accreditation. The council	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress Obtain Silver	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS) accreditation. The council will explore the possibility	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress Obtain Silver by 2022	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS) accreditation. The council will explore the possibility of obtaining (FORS) Gold accreditation for its own	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress Obtain Silver by 2022	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS) accreditation. The council will explore the possibility of obtaining (FORS) Gold accreditation for its own fleet.	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress Obtain Silver by 2022 Obtain Gold by 2024	
Borough fleet actions	21	Redbridge's own fleet is a member of the Freight Transport Association with Truck Excellence accreditation; equivalent to bronze (FORS) accreditation. The council will explore the possibility of obtaining (FORS) Gold accreditation for its own fleet.	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	2019-2021	Annual report summarising FORS accreditation progress Obtain Silver by 2022 Obtain Gold by 2024	

Borough fleet actions	22	Increasing the number of electric, hybrid and cleaner vehicles in the boroughs' fleet. Redbridge are seeking to comply with the ULEZ standard.	Waste and Fleet	Normal business with support from Defra and GLA funding streams.	1	2019-2021	PL= 2 High and selected Annual report summarising progress Number ULEV by Dec 2021 EOD = 2 PL= 2 High and selected	
Borough fleet actions	23	Increase the uptake of new Euro VI vehicles in borough fleet.	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	1	2019-2021	Annual report summarising progress Number of Euro VI by Dec 2021 EOD = 2	

							PL= 2 High and selected	
Borough fleet actions	24	Smarter Driver Training for drivers of vehicles in Borough Own Fleet i.e. through training of fuel efficient driving and providing regular re- training of staff	Waste and Fleet	Normal business	2	Immediately	Annual report summarising progress EOD = 2 PL= 4 High	
Localised solution	S							
Localised solutions	25	Green Infrastructure	Environmental Health, Transportation and Planning	Normal business and	3	Immediately	Annual report summarising number of	

				MAQF funding			green infrastructur e projects implemente d by the council. EOD = 2 PL= 6 Medium	
Localised solutions	26	Low Emission Neighbourhoods (LENs) Ilford Garden Junction and pending bid for Ley Street LEN	Transportation	GLA LEN funding and council match funding	1	Immediately	Report summarising LEN progress and emission reductions achieved by completion date March 2020. EOD = 4 PL= 4 High	

Cleaner transport			I	L	I		L	I
Cleaner	27	Discouraging unnecessary	Environmental Health	Normal	3	2019-2024	Annual	
transport		idling by taxis, coaches		Business and			report	
		and other vehicles		MAQF			summarising	
		through participation in		funding for			informal and	
		the Pan London anti-		Pan London			formal	
		idling campaign and		anti-idling			enforcement	
		through targeted		work			action and	
		education and					response to	
		enforcement activity					anti-idling	

		around schools in the borough.				education initiatives. EOD = 1 PL= 3 High	
Cleaner transport	28	Promote and deliver projects with Car Free Days and Road Closures.	Transportation and Environmental Health	Normal business and pending MAQF	2019-2023	Annual report summarising number of car free days held and road closures implemente d and their effect on the targeted area/commu nity. EOD = 3 PL= 3 High and selected	

Cleaner	29	Promote the existing free	Parking Services	Normal	1	Immediately	Annual	
transport		residential parking permit		business			report	
		scheme for electric					summarising	
		vehicles (EV) to					number of	
		encourage increased					permits	
		uptake					issued for EV	
							EOD = 3	
							DI-3 High	
							PL- 5 High	
							and selected	

Cleaner	30	Installation of (EV)	Transportation and	LIP, GULCS	1	2019-2020	Annual	10 EVCPs in
transport		residential electric charge	Planning	and			report	South
		points					summarising	Woodford,
				OLEV			progress/	Wanstead
				funding			number of	Village and
				support			chargers	Wanstead
							installed per	Park
							year	March 2010
								March 2019
							EOD = 3	
							PL = 3 High	
							and selected	
								5-10 EVCPs
								within the
								A406
								detailed in
								the MAQF
								Ley Street
								LEN bid.
Cleaner	31	Installation of rapid	Transportation	LIP and	1	2020	Annual	2 FV ranid
transport		chargers to help enable		GULCS	-		report	charging
		the take up of electric		funding				points to be
				0				

	taxis, cabs and			summarising	installed in
	commercial vehicles (in			progress	the Council's
	partnership with TfL				Ley Street
	and/or OLEV)			number of	Depot
				chargers	detailed in
				installed per	the MAQF
				year	Ley Street Bid
				EOD = 3	
				PL= 3 High and selected	1 TfL rapid charger in Cranbrook Road car park, Gants Hill. 6 Fast Chargers to be installed at Lynton House as part of the Warkplace
					Travel Project

Cleaner	32	Provision of	Transportation	LIP and LEN	1	2019-2024	Annual	
transport		infrastructure to support		Funding			report	
		walking and cycling					summarising	
							progress in	
							key schemes	
							Implemente	
							LIF	
							EOD = 4	
							PL = 4 High	
							and selected	
						2024		
Cleaner	33	Introduce parking	Parking Services	Normal	1	2021	Annual	
transport		vohicles below Euro 6		business			report	
		standards for Resident					impact of	
		and Controlled Parking					the policy	
		Zone permits						
							EOD = 3	
							PL= 3 High	

Cleaner	34	Reallocation or	Transportation	Normal	1	2019-2023	Annual	A significant
transport		restriction of road space		business and			report	amount of
		around schools located		MAQF			summarising	road space
		in areas of high					progress	restriction
		pollution.						around
							EOD = 3	schools have
							PL= 3 High	been
								proposed and
								detailed in
								the current
								MAQF and
								LEN bids.

3. Planning Update and Other New Sources of Emissions

Table K. Planning requirements met by planning applications in Redbridge in 2020

Condition	Number
Number of planning applications where an air quality impact assessment was reviewed for air quality impacts	58
Number of planning applications required to monitor for construction dust	31
Number of CHPs/Biomass boilers refused on air quality grounds	<u>0</u>
Number of CHPs/Biomass boilers subject to GLA emissions limits and/or other restrictions to reduce emissions	<u>2</u>
Number of developments required to install Ultra-Low NO _x boilers	<u>15</u>
Number of developments where an AQ Neutral building and/or transport assessments undertaken	27
Number of developments where the AQ Neutral building and/or transport assessments not meeting the benchmark and so required to include additional mitigation	<u>8</u>
Number of planning applications with S106 agreements including other requirements to improve air quality	<u>1</u>
Number of planning applications with CIL payments that include a contribution to improve air quality	<u>0</u>
NRMM: Central Activity Zone and Canary Wharf Number of conditions related to NRMM included. Number of developments registered and compliant. Please include confirmation that you have checked that the development has been registered at <u>www.nrmm.london</u> and that all NRMM used on-site is compliant with Stage IIIB of the Directive and/or exemptions to the policy.	0
NRMM: Greater London (excluding Central Activity Zone and Canary Wharf) Number of conditions related to NRMM included. Number of developments registered and compliant. Please include confirmation that you have checked that the development has been registered at <u>www.nrmm.london</u> and that all NRMM used on-site is compliant with Stage IIIA of the Directive and (or exemptions to the policy)	0

3.1 New or significantly changed industrial or other sources

London Borough of Redbridge confirms that there are no new or significantly changed industrial or other sources identified.

Appendix A Details of Monitoring Site QA/QC

A.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

Air quality monitoring data provides a measure of actual concentrations and therefore exceedences of air quality objectives. Data also provides information on trends in air pollution and can provide the basis for verifying the various models used to predict future pollution levels.

In 2018 London Borough of Redbridge undertook automatic monitoring at the following two sites:

• **CM7** - **Redbridge 7** (Ley Street) located northeast of Ilford – an urban background site within the Ley Street Depot that is sited on Ley Street. The site monitored nitrogen dioxide, PM10 (by BAM), and ozone. Since 2016 this site began monitoring PM2.5 (by BAM). Redbridge 7 (Ley Street) was set up in 2014, and is also identified in this report as CM7.

• **CM4 - Redbridge 4** (Wanstead) – an urban traffic site close to the A12 towards the southwest of the Borough. The site started operating in November 1999. The site monitors nitrogen dioxide, PM10 and PM2.5 (both by BAM). Until March 2012 it also monitored carbon monoxide and sulphur dioxide.

The sites represent relevant exposure within the Borough. The sites are part of the London Air Quality Network and therefore the standards of QA/QC are similar to those of the government's AURN sites. Fortnightly local site operator (LSO) zero/span calibrations of the gas analysers are carried out by the local authority, with subsequent data collection, validation and ratification undertaken by the ERG at King's College London. In all cases the data are fully ratified unless reported otherwise. Details of the sites can be found at <u>www.londonair.org.uk</u>

UKCAS accredited independent site audits are carried out every 6 months by the National Physics Laboratory (NPL). Additional six monthly equipment service visits by Enviro Technology Services Plc.

The Council previously operated three other automatic monitoring stations in the Borough: **Redbridge 2** - a roadside site on Ilford Broadway closed in 2003, **Redbridge 3** – a kerbside site at Fulwell Cross closed in 2012, and **Redbridge 5** – a roadside site in South Woodford closed in 2012.

PM₁₀ Monitoring Adjustment

The LLAQM.TG16 guidance highlights that Met-One PM₁₀ Unheated BAM 1020 instruments conform to the equivalence criteria relating to the gravimetric European reference method. A correction using a factor of 1.2 is automatically applied to adjust for slope..

A.2 Diffusion Tube Quality Assurance / Quality Control

- Diffusion Tubes are prepared and analysed by UKAS accredited Gradko International Ltd
- Diffusion Tubes are prepared using 50% triethanolamine with acetone method and analysed using UV spectrophotometry
- The lab follows the procedures set out in the Defra Technical Guidance for LAQM TG(16).
- For details attaining to 'results' precision, bias adjustment factors; and reference methods are as follows:

Results of laboratory precision (tube precision and WASP results):

The LAQM website gives the following precision results for Gradko 50% TEA in acetone:

2020 Good (14 studies)

The laboratory performance of Gradko International was tested in April 2019 to October 2020 under AIR NO2 PT Rounds AR031, AR033, AR034, AR036 and AR040. The performance was 100% in all rounds except AR036 and AR040 which reported at 75%.

The version of the bias adjustment factor database used is: 03/21

Factor from Local Co-location Studies (if available)

The local co-location studies at the Redbridge 7, Ley Street, CMT = DT D and Redbridge 4, Gardner Close, CM4 = DT E gave an average local bias factor for 2020 of 1.13. This was derived by averaging the B Values from the Local Bias Adjustment Tool in accordance with the method in paragraph 7.192 of LAQM TG16. The average is then expressed as factor. 1 is added to the value. Finally an inverse is taken to give the bias adjustment factor.

2020	Bias adjustment factor
Background Redbridge local: CM7=DT D	
Bias A value = 1.18	
Bias B value = -15%	
Roadside Redbridge local: CM4=DT E	
Bias A value = 1.1	
Bias B value = -9%	
Average local: CM7=DT D and CM4=DT E	
As in method in paragraph 7.205 of LAQM (TG16)	= 1.13
<u>National Default used</u> – (14 studies)	0.82

Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use

For each of the two local sites there were 12 months of "Good Precision" diffusion tube data.

Overall automatic data capture at CM7 and CM4 was good with 12 months of "Good data capture",. The diffusion tubes are in similar exposure positions to the sampler inlets of the chemiluminescent analysers at the continuous sites. In deciding upon the choice of factor to use, we have applied the National Default of 0.82 in our calculations in preference to the local factor of 1.14 since the former derives from good precision data and 14 sites.

Bias adjustment factors for previous years:

2019: A national bias factor of 0.89 used (Lab: ESG Glasgow) 2018: A national bias factor of 0.92 used (Lab: ESG Glasgow)

A.3 Adjustments to the Ratified Monitoring Data

Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

No short to long term adjustments required this year to the ratified monitoring data.

Appendix B Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2019

Table M.NO2 Diffusion Tube Results

				Annual Mean NO ₂ (Bias Adj Factor =0.82)												
Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^a	Valid data capture 2020 % ^b	Jan	Feb	March	Apr	May	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual mean – raw data ^c	Annual mean – bias adjusted c
DT A			33.9	29.4	25.1	20.0	15.3	17.2	15.5	16.8	26.4	24.2	43.4	31.8	24.9	20.4
DT B			61.8	49.0	51.5	27.6	35.5	40.8	37.1	34.8	55.5	34.4	61.3	45.2	44.5	36.5
DT C			56.0	45.1	46.4	29.3	35.1	36.3	35.3	35.8	49.6	35.5	55.0	44.1	42.0	34.4
DT D			30.9	25.2	32.4	19.0	19.6	20.4	19.5	15.0	25.6	26.7	42.3	26.8	25.3	20.7
DT E			41.1	40.8	35.4	29.3	20.2	30.7	24.9	29.7	30.7	45.8	44.4	36.6	34.1	28.0
DT F			44.4	46.6	39.9	23.6	23.8	32.2	27.1	27.0	48.5	28.2	51.9	36.6	35.8	29.4
DT G			64.7	52.9	50.3	24.2	28.4	45.4	33.6	55.5	32.5	32.2	60.6	44.7	43.7	35.9
DT H			45.5	47.2	32.4	40.7	38.2	61.1	30.6	43.7	61.5	46.6	48.5	48.3	45.3	37.2
DT I			58.6	41.3	33.6	29.5	43.9	40.8	34.6	39.8	60.8	26.3	50.3	47.9	42.3	34.7
DT J			56.4	65.1	43.6	32.2	37.3	25.8	40.5	50.4	41.8	32.1	51.4	66.2	45.2	37.1
DT K			47.9	48.3	42.5	25.9	31.2	24.0	42.8	32.3	42.5	28.7	52.5	48.7	38.9	31.9

			Annual Mean NO ₂ (Bias Adj Factor =0.82)													
Site ID	Valid data capture for monitoring period % ^a	Valid data capture 2019 % ^b	Jan	Feb	March	Apr	May	June	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual mean – raw data ^c	Annual mean – bias adjusted c
DT L			34.4	33.4	44.2	31.3	37.2	28.7	27.7	33.0	37.8	32.3	34.6	29.2	33.6	27.6
DT M			72.9	66.9	67.6	41.4	61.3	51.3	55.0	50.0	64.0	60.9	89.8	55.1	61.4	50.3
DT N			30.8	26.7	32.3	18.0	15.6	16.0	17.3	14.9	25.8	20.1	40.8	24.3	23.5	19.3
DT O			47.7	51.2	38.8	36.6	24.8	37.5	31.6	31.5	35.6	59.0	51.2	44.1	40.8	33.5
DT P			37.0	36.4	29.4	29.8	16.6	27.6	22.2	24.8	24.2	41.6	40.3	34.6	30.4	24.9
DT Q			50.8	36.0	41.8	43.1	42.7	44.7	42.9	32.7	43.4	41.9	37.7	39.6	41.4	34.0
DT R			45.8	37.8	71.4	25.5	46.8	38.8	43.6	40.9	59.0	30.9	55.5	46.8	45.2	37.1
DT S			41.3	40.3	72.2	35.1	47.8	43.0	42.5	41.4	60.0	39.4	65.5	46.5	47.9	39.3
DT T			43.4	46.0	42.9	30.1	30.3	31.2	30.4	32.5	41.9	31.5	58.6	40.7	38.3	31.4
DT U			42.8	32.0	43.5	24.5	22.1	24.4	23.2	24.9	35.1	26.6	52.6	35.0	32.2	26.4
DT V			34.8	28.0	36.1	22.1	18.2	20.0	20.1	21.3	30.8	21.9	44.4	32.5	27.5	22.6
DT W			31.9	33.0	34.4	38.2	36.3	33.8	32.1	25.6	27.2	23.9	43.1	40.2	33.3	27.3

Exceedance of the NO_2 annual mean AQO of 40 μg m 3 are shown in **bold**.

^a Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year

^b Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%)

^c Means should be "annualised" in accordance with LLAQM Technical Guidance, if valid data capture is less than 75%

Distance Adjustment

The bias-adjusted NO₂ annual mean diffusion tube concentration exceedances reported at the sites listed in table N (above) have been distance corrected for the nearest location relevant for exposure. These predictions have been done using the NO₂ fall-off with distance calculator available on the LAQM Support website.

The following factors are have been used to predict the annual mean NO2 concentration (in µg/m³) at the the receptor/relevant exposure :

- 2 How far from the KERB is the location where the measurement was made (in meters)
- 2 How far from the KERB is the receptor/relevant exposure (in meters)
- The local annual mean background NO2 concentration (in μg/m³)
- The measured annual mean NO2 concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$)

The measurement and background concentrations must be for the same year. The background concentration could come from the national maps published at (<u>http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/review-and-assessment/tools/background-maps.html</u>) or from a nearby monitor in a background location. 2018 National map background concentrations have been in this report. Use of a measured result from nearby background monitor for background concentration will be denoted by *

Data for the distance of the kerb to the measurement location has been taken from table B in this report.

The calculator follows the procedure set out in paragraphs 7.77 to 7.79 of LAQM TG(16) and Box 2.3 of LAQM TG(09). The results will have greater uncertainty than measured data. More confidence can be placed in results where the distance between the monitor and the receptor is small than where it is large. Each distance should be greater than 0.1m and less than 50m. The NO₂ fall off with distance correction has only been applied to sites with relevant exposure that exceed the AQ objectives and that also meet the distance requirement.

Table U. NU ₂ Distance Corrected Diffusion Tube Resul	Table O.	NO ₂ Distance Corrected Diffusion Tube Results
--	----------	---

Site ID	Annual mean – raw data ^c	Annual mean – bias adjusted c	Local Annual mean – background	Distance of measurement from kerb of nearest road (m)	Distance of receptor from kerb (m)	Annual mean – distance corrected
DT A	24.9	20.4				
DT B	44.5	36.5	27.97	2.3	2	36.8
DT C	42.0	34.4	22.1	3.0	4.9	32.8
DT D	25.3	20.7				
DT E	34.1	28.0	26.36	4.2	11.3	27.5
DT F	35.8	29.4	18.4	1.2	8.7	24.8
DT G	43.7	35.9	22.62	1.5	6.4	31.7
DT H	45.3	37.2	22.6	1.3	4.3	33.5
DT I	42.3	34.7				
DT J	45.2	37.1	22.6	0.9	7.5	31.0
DT K	38.9	31.9	22.6	40	43.9	31.2
DT L	33.6	27.6	28.83	2.1	26.2	30
DT M	61.4	50.3	31.8	3.0	4.8	47.7
DT N	23.5	19.3				
DT O	40.8	33.5	32.4	8.0	12.8	32.7
DT P	30.4	24.9				
DT Q	41.4	34.0				

Site ID	Annual mean – raw data ^c	Annual mean – bias adjusted c	Local Annual mean – background	Distance of measurement from kerb of nearest road (m)	Distance of receptor from kerb (m)	Annual mean – distance corrected
DT R	45.2	37.1	23.2	3.2	16.8	24.6
DT S	47.9	39.3				
DT T	38.3	31.4	19.5	0.6	6.6	26.2
DT U	32.2	26.4				
DT V	27.5	22.6				
DT W	33.3	27.3				

Graph 1 Ley Street NO2 Concentrations 2014 to 2021:



Warning: Interactive graphs may be slow if you select alot of data. Consider using hourly or even daily data, restricting the date range or using static graphs.

Graph 2 Gardner Close NO2 Concentrations 2014 to 2021:



Warning: Interactive graphs may be slow if you select alot of data. Consider using hourly or even daily data, restricting the date range or using static graphs.