

LONDON BOROUGH OF REDBRIDGE

Examination of Redbridge Local Plan 2015-20130

Inspector: David Smith BA(Hons) DMS MRTPI

Programme Officer: Andrea Copsey

Tel: 07842 643988

Email: copseyandrea@gmail.com

Webpage: [Redbridge - Redbridge Local Plan 2015-2030](#)

HEARING PROGRAMME AND EXAMINATION ISSUES – issued 6 April 2017

Venue: City Gates Conference Centre, 25-29 Clements Road, Ilford, IG1 1BH

Morning sessions will generally start at 1000 hours and afternoon sessions at 1400 hours

Day	Date	AM session	PM session
1	6 June 2017	Issues 1-4 – all day – legal and procedural requirements, spatial vision and Investment and Growth Areas (IGAs)	
2	7 June 2017	Issues 5 & 6 – all day – housing and exceptional circumstances in the Green Belt	
3	8 June 2017	Issue 4a – Ilford IGA – Policy LP1A – all day	
4	13 June 2017	Issue 4a – Crossrail Corridor IGA - Policy LP1B – all day	
5	14 June 2017	Issue 4a – Barkingside IGA - Policy LP1E – all day	
6	15 June 2017	Issue 4a – South Woodford IGA - Policy LP1D – all day	
7	16 June 2017	Issue 11 – other opportunity sites	
8	18 July 2017	Issue 7 – town centres and employment	Issue 8 – transport and parking
9	19 July 2017	Issue 9 – design and tall buildings	Issue 10 – managing and enhancing borough’s assets
10	20 July 2017	Issue 12 – implementation, delivery and monitoring	

Potential overspill dates (if required)

11 and 13 July, 21 July, w/c 7 August

Issue 1

Have the relevant procedural and legal requirements been met, including the duty to co-operate and those required by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010?

Issue 2

Are the spatial vision and objectives for Redbridge (Section 2) sound having regard to the presumption in favour of sustainable development?

Issue 3

Is the overall spatial development strategy (Policy LP1) sound having regard to the needs and demands of the Borough; the relationship with national policy and Government objectives; the provisions of The London Plan and the evidence base and preparatory processes? Has the Local Plan been positively prepared?

Issue 4:

Are the Investment and Growth Areas properly defined, do they positively promote the spatial vision and objectives for Redbridge and are the expectations for growth justified and deliverable?

Issue 4a:

Are the policies for the individual Investment and Growth Area justified, consistent with national policy and will they be effective (Policies LP1A- LP1E)? Are the strategic and key sites within each of the Investment and Growth Areas justified when compared to other reasonable alternatives, deliverable within the plan period having regard to any constraints and consistent with national policy? Is the detail about the sites adequate in respect of use, form, scale, access and quantum of development?

Issue 5:

Are the policies for housing growth and affordable housing (Policies LP2 & LP3) justified, deliverable and consistent with national policy?

Issue 6:

Are there exceptional circumstances that warrant altering the Green Belt boundary?

Issue 7:

Are the policies relating to town centres and employment (Policies LP9, LP10, LP11 and LP14) and the other policies relating to promoting and managing growth in Section 3 justified, consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Issue 8:

Are the policies relating to promoting sustainable transport and cycle and car parking (Policies LP22 & LP23) and the other policies relating to promoting a green environment in Section 4 justified, consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Issue 9:

Are the policies relating to achieving quality design and to tall buildings in Section 5 (Policies LP26-LP33) justified, consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Issue 10:

Are the policies relating to managing and enhancing the Borough's assets in Section 6 (policies LP34-40) justified, consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Issue 11:

Are the other development opportunity sites in Appendix 1 justified when compared to other reasonable alternatives, deliverable within the plan period having regard to any constraints and consistent with national policy?

Issue 12

Does the Local Plan have clear and effective mechanisms for implementation, delivery and monitoring (Policy LP41)?